

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,409	10/602,409 06/23/2003		Birgit Schleifenbaum	3968.031	8762
41288	7590	05/31/2005		EXAM	INER
PENDORF 5111 MEM			Wong, Leslie A		
TAMPA, F.		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1761	

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,409 ·	SCHLEIFENBAUM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leslie Wong	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ T 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allocation in accordance with the practice under the condition of the c	This action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1.2,5-10,12-17 and 21-24 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2,5-10,12-17 and 21-24 is/are ref 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the specification of the specification of the specification of the specification is objected to by the Example 10 to 1	drawn from consideration. ejected. d/or election requirement. hiner. accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority do	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachmont/s)		
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of Réferences Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No.	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/602,409

Art Unit: 1761

It is noted that claims 1, 2, 5-10, 12-17, and 21-24 are the pending claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 12-17, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Johnson (US Patent No. 4576737) and Cherukuri et al (US Patent No. 5004595).

Johnson teaches an encapsulated flavor composition wherein a carrier is used to form an emulsion and the emulsion is sprayed into a fluidized bed agglomerator charged with a small quantity of particulate carrier or small encapsulates (see entire patent, especially the claims).

Cherukuri et al teach a multiple encapsulated flavor delivery system and method where the flavor is encapsulated using a fluidized bed type process (see entire document).

The residence time and the height would be inherent and/or obvious to that of Johnson and Cherukuri et al as the same components and equipment are utilized.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,409

Art Unit: 1761

The recitation that the product is made by a new process, if the process were indeed new and patentable, does not render an otherwise unpatentable product new and patentable. It is pointed out that claims 1 and 13-20 are product claims and not process claims. The product must stand on its own invention, independently of the process of producing same. See In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 195; In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964; Ex parte Jungfer, 18 USPQ 2nd 1976.

It is also noted that to make a process continuous does not add patentability to the claims, see In re Korpi, 602 OG 672.

In the absence of unexpected results, it is not seen how the claimed invention differs from the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known components which produces expected results, see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 and In re Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,409

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

LAW May 26, 2005